

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049789 In re Eduardo G., a Minor

The judgment is affirmed. Hill, J.

We concur: Wiseman, Acting P.J.; Kane, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049231 Ochoa et al. v. Fordel, Inc. et al.

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

F048828 People v. Wilson

Filed modification of opinion (no change in judgment). Harris, Acting P.J.

We concur: Cornell, J.; Dawson, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F048914 People v. Thomas

The judgment is affirmed. Hill, J.

We concur: Levy, Acting P.J.; Kane, J.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049176 People v. Le

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for decision.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

**F051449 Arthur R. v. The Superior Court Of Stanislaus County; Stanislaus County
Community Services Agency**

The petition for extraordinary writ is denied. This opinion is final
forthwith as to this court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049251 People v. Plummer

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-
entitled case in accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to
counsel, the case is submitted for decision.

F049251 People v. Plummer

The judgment of conviction is affirmed. The abstract of judgment
shall be amended to reflect that the sentence for the attempted robbery
be stayed under section 654 and a copy of the amended abstract shall
be sent to the Department of Corrections.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

**F049526 D. H. Williams Construction, Inc. v. Clovis Unified School District et al.
F049632**

Oral argument having been waived in the above-entitled case in
accordance with the provisions of a notice mailed to counsel, the
calendar date heretofore set is vacated and the case is submitted for
decision.

F049835 People v. Medina

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-
entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the
provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is
submitted.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F049835 People v. Medina

The judgment is affirmed.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F049679 In re William W., a Minor

Counsel having failed to request oral argument in the above-entitled case, oral argument is deemed waived in accordance with the provisions of a notice heretofore mailed to counsel and the cause is submitted.

F051247 George Reed, Inc. et al. v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board and Arthur Faulkner

The petition for writ of review, filed September 22, 2006, is denied. Respondent Faulkner's request for attorney fees is granted; the matter is remanded to the WCAB to issue a supplemental award of attorney fees for the services rendered in connection with the petition for writ of review. [Citation] This opinion is final forthwith as to this court.

By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F050563 People v. Perez-Lopez

The above-entitled case is submitted for decision.

IN THE

Court of Appeal of the State of California

IN AND FOR THE

Fifth Appellate District

F050563 **People v. Perez-Lopez**
The judgment is affirmed.
By the Court.

[NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS]

F051571 **North Sea Foods, Inc., et al. v. Real Equity Investment Group IV, LLC, et al.**
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the remittitur which issued erroneously in this appeal on December 5, 2006, is hereby recalled. The Clerk of the Stanislaus County Superior Court is directed to return said remittitur to this court on or before December 29, 2006.

Upon receipt of said remittitur by this court, the December 4, 2006, order dismissing this appeal is ordered vacated and the appeal is ordered reinstated and restored to active status.